

SPRING26
+22ND GCPS
A Joint AIChE and CCPS Meeting

**The Untapped Potential:
How Soft Skills Drive Process Safety Excellence**

Lisa Ruth, CPSM
ioMosaic Corporation
1800 Bering Drive, Ste. 950
Ruth.l.tx@iomosaic.com

Kristin Robbins
ioMosaic Corporation
1800 Bering Drive, Ste. 950
Robbins.k.tx@iomosaic.com

Prepared for Presentation at
American Institute of Chemical Engineers
2026 Spring Meeting and 22nd Global Congress on Process Safety
Houston, TX
April 12-16, 2026

AIChE shall not be responsible for statements or opinions contained
in papers or printed in its publications

Paper Title

Lisa Ruth, CPSM
ioMosaic Corporation
1800 Bering Drive, Ste. 950
Ruth.l.tx@iomosaic.com

Kristin Robbins
ioMosaic Corporation
1800 Bering Drive, Ste. 950
Robbins.k.tx@iomosaic.com

Keywords: Soft skills, process safety, process safety culture, communication

Abstract

In the process safety industry, technical expertise is paramount, but it is the mastery of soft skills that truly elevates an individual and an organization. This presentation moves beyond the technical to explore the essential human elements that drive a safer and more collaborative work environment. Designed for all professionals, from the highly technical to the non-technical, this session offers a practical toolkit for those who are less naturally inclined toward these skills.

We will provide concrete tips, actionable tools, and valuable resources to help you unlock your full potential and get the ‘YES’ when requesting support to mitigate incidents. Key topics will include effective communication, focusing on translating complex jargon into clear understanding; collaborative teamwork, building a stronger and more cohesive unit; management engagement and business acumen; and the importance of emotional intelligence in the workplace. Finally, the presentation will equip you with the skills for compelling presentations and communication dialogue options when speaking with decision makers/management. By the end of this session, attendees will be empowered to apply these skills to not only enhance their own careers but also to build a more robust, effective and trusted safety culture for everyone.

1 Introduction

In our combined thirty plus years working within marketing, sales, and global strategies, we have seen millions of dollars in potential value wither away. Not because of poor engineering, but because of poor translation.

Our technical teams are often our most knowledgeable team members (SMEs if you will) of our day-to-day processes. They produce masterpieces of data—citing API standards, mapping

stochastic variables, and detailing pressure differentials. But often, those ‘masterpieces’ go straight to a "read later" folder, which is effectively “the trash”.

Why? Because they ask the ‘reader’ to do the "math." If you make your management team calculate why your data matters to their P&L, you’ve already lost their attention. Never make your boss do the math.

This paper isn’t intended to challenge your calculations or rewrite your chemical formulas. It is intended to help you learn how to get funded. As non-technical strategists, we represent the ‘end user’ the managers/stakeholders who either hold the capital or can influence it, but we speak a different language.

We have seen these projects live or die, watching very capable engineering fail to launch because it was presented as a puzzle rather than a solution. This paper offers you the ‘decoder ring’, the ability to take your technical rigor and frame it in the language of risk, return, and operational impact.

Should you decide to read our paper – do so not because it will teach you more about pressure differentials than you already know (because we can tell you that it won’t) but do read it because it will help you convince a CFO to care about them like you do.

2 The Language of the Checkbook

To a technical lead, an API reference is a fact. To us (and most management personnel), it’s noise. To an engineer, a 2% deviation is a statistic. To a shareholder, it’s an abstraction.

If you want to secure a "Yes" from the person holding the checkbook, you must stop selling *processes* and start selling *predictability*.

The Jargon Trap: A Visual Case Study

Here’s a sample email from operations to the management team. It is factually perfect but strategically useless:

To: *SVP of Operations*

Subject: *Update on PSV-102 & API 520 Part I Compliance*

Per our recent bench testing, PSV-102 showed a set pressure deviation of 4.5%, which exceeds the stochastic modeling parameters we established in Q3. Based on API 520 Part I standards, we are seeing a significant delta in the discharge coefficient. We need to pull the unit for a full recalibration and potential nozzle replacement to maintain nominal flow rates.

What management reads: They see "downtime", "expense", and "complex math". They don't see the business risk. This is an engineer asking for permission to do engineering. They need to see an ‘Architect of Culture’ asking for an investment in Asset Integrity.

3 The Translator' Toolkit: Converting Fact to Value

Whether you are in Pharma, Refining, or Chemical Processing, management speaks one language: *Risk vs. Reward*. Your job is to be an effective and seamless translator.

The Strategic Translation Table

Technical Fact	Business Risk (The "So What?")	The Sales Pitch (The "Yes")
Pharma: Cleaning validation recovery is at 78% (limit is 85%).	Regulatory Exposure. Risk of a mandatory FDA recall and permanent brand damage.	<i>"We need to update our cleaning protocol to guarantee batch purity and avoid a \$10M regulatory recall."</i>
Refining: Vibration sensors on Pump B are hitting 0.45 in/sec.	Operational Continuity. Unscheduled failure causes a site-wide outage during peak demand.	<i>"I'm requesting a 4-hour window now to protect our production uptime and avoid a 3-day emergency shutdown."</i>
Chemical Plant: Exothermic reaction delta-T exceeds the 10°C safety envelope.	Catastrophic Liability. Potential for vessel breach, runaway reaction, and total loss of life/site.	<i>"We need to throttle throughput today to ensure total containment and prevent a catastrophic event that would halt operations indefinitely."</i>

Speaking Management's Language: 3 Keys to Turn Process Safety Facts into Business Action

Translating technical realities into strategic business value is challenging. One of the most effective ways to "speak" to management is surprisingly ancient: the Greeks understood that information is best remembered in threes. Applying that timeless principle, we propose three key concepts to communicating effectively with management to drive action.

The Three Key Concepts to Speak Management

1. Start with the Business Problem – Not the Technical Solution

Management is motivated by impact, not the intricacies of valves, sensors, or chemical reactions. Frame the discussion in terms of outcomes:

- Does this improve safety?
- Does it reduce risk exposure?
- Does it improve uptime and operational continuity?
- Does it protect corporate reputation?
- Does it increase margins or cost efficiency?

2. Quantify the Impact

Numbers resonate with decision-makers. Translate technical issues into financial terms wherever possible:

- Return on Investment (ROI) for preventive measures
- Cost of action to address the issue
- Payback period and economic justification

3. Illustrate the Cost of Avoidance

Management often underestimates the risk of inaction or “doing nothing”. Highlight the potential consequences factually:

- Safety exposure, including potential injuries or fatalities
- Regulatory penalties or compliance failures
- Production loss and downtime
- Insurance implications
- Reputation damage with customers, investors, and the public

Applying the Three-Concept Framework: Examples from Industry

To illustrate, consider the three scenarios across pharma, refining, and chemical operations outlined in the Strategic Translation Table:

Pharmaceutical Manufacturing

- Business Problem: Operating outside validated cleaning limits → regulatory exposure
- Quantify: Cost of inaction: \$10M potential fines or remediation costs
- Cost of Avoidance: Regulatory penalties, reputation damage, potential production impact

Refining Operations

- Business Problem: Increased equipment failure probability during peak demand → operational continuity
- Quantify: Cost of inaction: Emergency 3-day shutdown, loss of throughput
- Cost of Avoidance: Safety exposure, production loss, and potential operational disruptions

Chemical Plant Operations

- Business Problem: Operating outside thermal safety limits → increased risk of runaway reaction
- Quantify: Cost of inaction: Catastrophic event, potential fatalities, and multi-million-dollar losses
- Cost of Avoidance: Safety exposure (fatalities), production loss, reputation damage, insurance implications, regulatory actions, and potential site loss

By focusing on the three key concepts of Business Problem, Quantification, and Cost of Avoidance, technical professionals can translate complex process safety facts into actionable business insights. This approach not only ensures that critical safety and operational issues are understood at the executive level but also increases the likelihood of timely, effective decision-making. In short, when it comes to speaking management, 3 is the magic number.

4 Exercise: Engineering the ‘YES’

In board meetings, they value brevity. A multi-side, highly technical explanation rarely wins support. That’s why the 3-concept framework can make the difference between a “no” and a “YES”.

But how do you now apply for it in a live meeting? Our answer is a 3-Line Pitch.

Pitches overloaded with 1) a tag number, 2) a code reference, or 3) a Greek letter, gets redlined immediately. Executives don’t need the technical minutiae; they need you to quickly identify and translate so they can understand the risk, the cost, and path forward.

Here’s a sample 3-line exercise to help guide an engineer and technical teams to translate facts into a concise management ask that gets the ‘YES’ going forward. Here’s how to think it through:

Step 1: Identify the Business Problem (Start with Why)

Before jumping to solutions, frame the issue in terms management cares about:

- What is at risk? (Safety, regulatory, production, reputation)
- What could happen if nothing is done?
- How urgent is the decision?

This aligns with the first of the “Three Keys to Speak Management’s Language”: start with the business problem, not the technical solution.

Step 2: Quantify the Impact (Put Numbers on It – Do the Math)

Management responds to numbers more than technical specifications:

- What is the cost of inaction? (Lost production, fines, safety incidents)
- What is the ROI by acting now?
- How does this investment compare to potential losses?

In short, the second key is to quantify the issue in terms executives understand.

Step 3: Show the Cost of Avoidance (Highlight Risk)

Explain what's at stake if the action is delayed or ignored:

- Safety exposure (injuries, fatalities)
- Operational loss (downtime, lost margin)
- Regulatory and legal implications
- Reputation damage

This third key demonstrates the cost of avoidance.

Once you've identified the problem, quantified it, and highlighted the risks, the 3-line pitch for the Management Ask comes together naturally:

✘ The "Bad" Pitch (The Technical Hole)

1. Our recent audit shows we are out of alignment with ASME Section VIII Division 1.
2. The pressure vessel 404-D has a wall thinning rate of 0.05 inches per year.
3. We need \$50k for a carbon fiber wrap to meet the safety factor of 3.5.

Why it fails: Focus is entirely on technical specifications. Management can't easily identify the risk or business impact, causing the pitch to fail.

✔ The "Good" Pitch (The Management Ask)

1. We have identified an integrity threat that could force an emergency site-wide shut down by next month.
2. I have a plan to secure this asset now for \$50k, which eliminates the risk of a \$1M unplanned outage.
3. This investment buys us predictability through the end of the fiscal year.

Why it works:

- Line 1: Frames the **business problem**: Risk of shutdown.
- Line 2: Quantifies the **impact and cost**: \$50k investment vs. \$1M potential loss.
- Line 3: Highlights **value and avoidance**: Predictable operations and reduced risk.

Thinking Through Your Ask

To move from a "bad" pitch to a "YES" pitch:

1. **Start with the outcome, not the method.** Ask yourself: "Why would a non-technical executive care about this?"
2. **Use financial or operational framing.** Translate technical numbers into dollars, days, or risk levels.

3. **Anticipate pushback.** Be ready to explain technical backup only if asked but keep your pitch concise.
4. **Iterate and practice.** Test your 3-line pitch on a colleague who isn't technical – and if they get it, then by Jove you've got it.

By combining **soft skills, business framing, and the Three Keys to Speak Management's Language**, engineers can dramatically increase the likelihood of a "YES" while still staying technically credible. Like any pitch, this is a skill that improves with practice. The more you craft concise, outcome-focused asks, the more intuitive it becomes to translate complex technical realities into actionable business impact.

5 Conclusion: From Expert to Architect

Process safety excellence isn't just about what you know; it's about how you can interpret that 'know how' and convince others to make the right decision now. Put your 'technically correct' jargon masterpiece aside (along with your ego) and help your facility eliminate high-cost mistakes by generating a YES.

We are confident that the approach described here guides engineers in developing a refined sense of what resonates with management: framing risks in terms of dollars, downtime, or reputational impact; emphasizing urgency without overwhelming with technical detail; and delivering recommendations that are both actionable and credible. Stop being the person who was "right but ignored." Use your translator tool and work through the 3-line exercise above to ensure positive, immediate support. Stop selling "math" and start selling a future with predictability. That is how you move the needle to a 'YES' and eliminate emails ending up in the trash.

Over time and with practice, engineers develop a natural sense of what resonates with management: how to frame risks in terms of dollars, downtime, or reputational impact; how to highlight the urgency without overloading on technical details; and how to deliver a recommendation that is both actionable and credible.

Mastering this approach doesn't just make individual pitches more effective, it builds influence across the organization. Technical professionals who consistently speak management's language become trusted advisors, shaping decisions that protect safety, drive operational continuity, and create tangible business value.

Ultimately, crafting the "right" pitch isn't just about one meeting, it's about cultivating a skill set that bridges technical expertise and strategic impact. With practice, what once felt challenging becomes second nature, and engineers gain the confidence to turn technical facts into meaningful business action.

6 References

- Young, Edwin, [Deferred Maintenance is a better metaphor than Technical Debt](#) – *Blog*, April 24, 2022. An exploration of how deferred maintenance leads to "unseen" financial risks.
- AIChE/CCPS, [Introduction to Asset Integrity and Reliability \(CCPS/AIChE\)](#), – *AIChE*, Current. The industry standard for communicating the urgency of maintenance to stakeholders.
- Halliwell, Don, [The CEO's Guide to Turning Risk Management Into a Growth Enabler](#) – *TrustLayer*, September 22, 2025, Discusses why executives prioritize predictability and systematic risk assessment over pure optimization.
- Pidun, Ulrich, Marc Rodt, Alexander Roos, Sebastian Stange and James Tucker, [The Art of Risk Management \(BCG/HBR\)](#) – BCG, April 30, 2017 - Outlines why senior management views risk through the lens of business stability.
- [Sizing, Selection, and Installation of Pressure-Relieving Devices](#) – *API Website*, API Standard 520
- [Rules for Construction of Pressure Vessels](#) – *ASME Website*, ASME Section VIII
- [The Soft Skills Disconnect](#) – *National Soft Skills Association*, - Reference providing a breakdown of the Harvard/Carnegie research regarding the 85% vs 15% split